

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/695,978	10/29/2003	Edmund O. Schweitzer III		8010	
7	590 06/12/2006	EXAM	EXAMINER		
Cook Alex M 200 West Adar	cFarron Manzo Cum	THOMAS,	THOMAS, LUCY M		
Suite 2850		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Chicago, IL 6	50606	2836			

DATE MAILED: 06/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/695,978	SCHWEITZER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Lucy Thomas	2836		

	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Lucy Thomas	2836					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE	HE REPLY FILED <u>5/09/2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. 🔲	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a)	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.						
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as let forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS								
3. 🛛	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	ecause				
	(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO						
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	• •						
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
	appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims					
	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	, ,	colod cidims.					
ı. 🗀	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	* **	moliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)				
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(1 1 0 2 0 2 1).				
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
	non-allowable claim(s).		-	_				
7. 🖂	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	I be entered and an e	explanation of				
	Claim(s) allowed:							
	Claim(s) objected to:							
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3 and 5-19</u> .							
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
3. 🗆	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a				
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.			nce because:				
 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Other: 								
J. L) Outer							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 14 recites new issues, an unfiltered m value and filtered m value.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amended claims raise new issues that would require further consideration to determine patentability.

Hephen is Jackson 6.8.06

STEPHEN W. JACKSON